

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 09/672,682 09/28/00 HONG J 071815.0490 **EXAMINER** MM91/0829 TED R RITTMASTER ADDISON.K PAPER NUMBER FOLEY & LARDNER **ART UNIT** 2029 CENTURY PARK EAST 2834

35TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90067-3021

DATE MAILED: 08/29/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

,				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/672,682	HONG, JOHN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Karen B Addison	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 55 5.5.5. \$ 115(5).				
Attachment(s)				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/672,682

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Applicant is advised that should claims 17 and 26 be found allowable, claims 33 and 45 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 17 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grudkowski (5243307).

Grudkoski discloses in fig. (2) and apparatus for varying the characteristics of an acoustic wave comprising: a medium for acoustic wave propagation (100), a transducer (105) formed on the medium and a light source (163) illuminating the medium.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,682

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-16,18-32 and 34-44 and 46-51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grudkowski (5423307).

Grudkowski disclose an apparatus for varying the characteristics of an acoustic wave comprising: a piezoelectric medium (100), a transducer (105) generating an acoustic wave, light emitting diode varied (163), and a controller (168) for varying the intensity of the light source. However, it doesn't disclose a laser diode. The Examiner takes official notice of the equivalence of the light emitting diode and the laser diode for their use in the surface acoustic wave art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to illuminate the medium. To substitute a laser diode for the disclosed light emitting diode would have been and obvious functional equivalent. The examiner also takes official notice of the equivalence of the controller and the light modulator for their use in the surface acoustic wave art and the selection of any of these know equivalents to vary the light intensity. The method of operation and the method of manufacturing is inherent base on the structural limitation of Grudkowski.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/672,682

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brooks (4620167).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KBA August 24, 2001 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800